## IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

FEBRUARY 24, 1846.
Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. PENNYBACKER made the following

## REPORT:

The Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of James L. Sawyer, "praying compensation for additional duties performed by him in the office of the collector of the port of New York, in 1844 and 1845," have had the same under consideration, and report:

The committee are satisfied that the extra services for which the memorialist now claims compensation were well performed, and that he prepared the duplicate copy of the ex-collector's official correspondence in such a manner as to meet the approbation of the collector. They are also assured that the service thus rendered; was performed after and before office hours. (as they are termed,) and without any interference with the regular duties of his desk; but it appears to them that the case comes within the spirit, if not the letter, of the act of 1839, which prohibits the payment of extra compensation to all officers of the government whose salaries are fixed by law and regulation. The salary of the memorialist was not fixed by express law, (being left to the discretion of the collector, with the assent of the Secretary of the Treasury,) and might, therefore, have been increased by the collector at the time, had he thought proper to do so, to such an amount as would have covered the services in question. The collector did not, however, for some cause or other, order the increase, and, therefore, the salary remained unchanged, or under the previous regulation. This being the case, the committee think it would be setting a bad precedent to grant an additional allowance where the officer, who had a full view of all the circumstances, has failed to exercise the discretion vested in him in favor of the memorialist. The object of leaving certain salaries discretionary, was evidently to enable collectors, by and with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury, to graduate compensations according to the services required at the time being, of which Congress can, of course, have no adequate knowledge.

The committee do not think the claim should be allowed, and report the

following resolution:

Resolved, That James L. Sawyer is not entitled to relief.

Ritchie & Heiss, printers

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

few coys; had they been disposed to wait so ion

to shown that, at consected 44 knayana's

Sensity to terms, the jordenance of herebied as believed by entire employed with the distribution of the entire employed and the entire entire

we in water to agree which eds about an about an all all a

he middle that the alleged low THO HIM and by a want of person

The Committee of Claims, to rectain was referred the memorial of James L. Sareyer, "praying compensation for additional dates performed by him in the office of the collector of the part of New York in 1844 and 1845," have had the same under consideration, and report:

The companies are satisfied that the extra services for which the memorialist new claims compensation were well performed, and that he prepared the duplicate copy of the excellector's official correspondence in such a manner as to meet the approbation of the collector. They are also assured that the service thus rendered, was performed after and before office hours, (as they are termed,) and without any interference with the regular duties of his desk; but it appears to them that the case comes within the spirit, if not the letter, of the act of 1839, which prohibits the payment of extra compensation to all officers of the government whose salaries are fixed by law and regulation. The salary of the memorialist was not fixed by capress that of the discretion of the collector, with the assent of the Section of the Treasury,) and might, therefore, have been increased by the collector at the time, had be thought proper to do so, to such an amount as collector at the time, had be thought proper to do so, to such an amount as ever, for some cause or other, order the increase, and, therefore, the salary would have covered the services in question. The collector at an ever, for some cause or other, order the increase, and, therefore, the seing the case, the committee think it would be setting a bad precedent to grant an expension in the committee think it would be setting a bad precedent to grant an elimentary of salary, to graduate compensations necerting to the services required contently to enable collectors, by and with the consent of the Secretary of the Treasury, to graduate compensations according to the services required to which begins in the being, of which Congress can, of course, have no adequate moved and the consent of the services required moved one.

The committee do not think the claim should be allowed, and report the

hereford, That James L. Sawyer is not entitled to relief.